

**From:** [Foster, Anne](#)  
**To:** [Christian, Doretha](#)  
**Subject:** RE: San Jacinto Question  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 04, 2014 2:34:00 PM

---

I asked Barbara, who thought 50% of her time sounded reasonable. As to travel, she said that she could recall no trips for San Jacinto that were made primarily in connection with the removal, so I would prefer not to include her travel. If Donn cannot identify any removal time or travel, I would prefer not to include those expenses.

There could be another bill; perhaps we could use Valmichael's time and travel costs expended just in 2013 (after the main removal was done) as an estimate? I would be comfortable with that.

Thanks.

---

**From:** Christian, Doretha  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 04, 2014 2:01 PM  
**To:** Foster, Anne  
**Subject:** FW: San Jacinto Question

Anne,

Has a determination been made regarding what to do with Donn and Barbara's salary and travel time? We are prepared to move forward after resolution of these 2 concerns. Please let me know. Will this be the only bill sent or should we include an estimate for the next years' bill?

Thanks.

Doretha A. Christian  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Superfund Division, Enforcement Assessment Section  
1445 Ross Avenue (6SF-TE)  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733  
[christian.doretha@epa.gov](mailto:christian.doretha@epa.gov)  
214.665.6734 w  
214.665.6660 f

---

**From:** Walters, Donn  
**Sent:** Friday, May 30, 2014 11:14 AM  
**To:** Christian, Doretha  
**Subject:** RE: San Jacinto Question

Ok, thanks.

---

**From:** Christian, Doretha  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 29, 2014 4:10 PM



9601578

**To:** Walters, Donn; Foster, Anne  
**Subject:** RE: San Jacinto Question

Thanks Donn.

Anne, please let me know how to proceed.

Doretha A. Christian  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Superfund Division, Enforcement Assessment Section  
1445 Ross Avenue (6SF-TE)  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733  
[christian.doretha@epa.gov](mailto:christian.doretha@epa.gov)  
214.665.6734 w  
214.665.6660 f

---

**From:** Walters, Donn  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:45 PM  
**To:** Foster, Anne  
**Cc:** Christian, Doretha  
**Subject:** RE: San Jacinto Question

Not sure how to respond to this. Providing community involvement for this site is provided site-wide, or for the overall site and affected communities. It is not broken down for the removal action, or remedial activities. The CAG meetings, multi-public meetings, fact sheets, talking to residents, research, placing signs, etc. are in response to the total site cleanup efforts.

Perhaps an allocation of time and effort, since 5/10 for all of the above activities including travel, could be a 25% -75% removal/remedial with obviously more time being spent on remedial outreach objectives.

Thanks.

---

**From:** Foster, Anne  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:39 AM  
**To:** Walters, Donn  
**Cc:** Christian, Doretha  
**Subject:** San Jacinto Question

Donn – we are trying to put together the bill for the San Jacinto removal oversight costs. At this point, because we have an AOC for the removal, we can only bill for removal oversight, not the RI/FS oversight (which was under a UAO). Can you identify what of your time, travel, and contract assistance was related to the removal vs. remedial? The time period would start 5/17/10 (after the removal AOC was signed). All of the money reimbursed will go into a special account for the site.

Thank you.